

GCE

History A

Unit Y249/01: Russia 1894-1941

Advanced Subsidiary GCE H105

Mark Scheme for June 2017

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2017

These are the annotations, (including abbreviations), including those used in scoris, which are used when marking

Annotation	Meaning of annotation
BP	Blank Page
	Highlight
Off-page comment	
A	Assertion
AN	Analysis
EVAL	Evaluation
EXP	Explanation
F	Factor
ILL	Illustrates/Describes
IRRL	Irrelevant, a significant amount of material that does not answer the question
J	Judgement
KU	Knowledge and understanding
SC	Simple comment
<u> </u>	Unclear
V	View

Y249/01

Section A

Question Answer	Marks	Guidance
1* To what extent did the reforms following the 1905 revolution achieve their aims? 1* In arguing that they did achieve their aims answers might consider the creation of the first national parliament in Russian history which helped to win the support of the middle class. • Answers might argue that the legalization of political parties increased political participation and therefore won support from the middle class. • Answers might argue that Stolypin's economic reforms led to an increase in agricultural and industrial output which helped to increase productivity and advance Russia. • Answers might consider the success of the Third and Fourth Dumas, especially in social reforms which helped to bring some social stability. • Answers might consider that autocracy was not threatened, allowing Nicholas to achieve his aims of preserving his power • In arguing that they did not achieve their aims, answers might consider that economic reforms failed to prepare Russia for the First World War. • Answers might consider that economic reforms failed to prepare Russia for the First World War. • Answers might consider that the political reforms failed to impinge on the power of autocracy and therefore did not dampen complaints.	<u>30</u>	 No set answer is expected. At Level 5 there will be a judgement as to the extent of success At Level 5 answers might establish criteria against which to judge success To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the mark scheme.

address the inefficiency of the Tsarist system	
and so they alienated the progressive	
elements of society.	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2*	 Assess the reasons why the November Revolution was a success for the Bolsheviks Answers might argue that the role of Lenin in inspiring and leading the Revolution was important to the success. Answers might consider the role of Trotsky and his position on the Military Revolutionary Council. Answers might consider the weaknesses of the Provisional government. Arguments here may include the inherent weakness of membership; the Kornilov Affair and the nature of Dual Authority. Answers may argue that the First World War played a key role in Bolshevik success. Answers might argue that peasant and urban unrest played a key role in Bolshevik success, and may refer to the events of the July Days as a counter argument. Answers might argue that the other parties were weak, and did not challenge the Bolsheviks in their seizure of power. Answers might refer to the nature of political tradition in Russia and argue that the institutions in place provided a lack of rules and regulations for the Provisional Government to follow. 	30	 No set answer is expected. At Level 5 there will be a judgement as to the level of disaster. At Level 5 answers might establish criteria against which to judge the level of threat To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the mark scheme.

Section B

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	 'The NEP was intended by Lenin primarily to meet Russia's urgent need for food." From M Lynch, From Autocracy to Communism, 2008 Evaluate the strengths and limitations of this interpretation, making references to other interpretations that you have studied. The historical debate centres around the introduction of the NEP and the need to feed the Russian population after the Civil War In analysing and evaluating the strengths and limitations of the interpretation, alongside the main line of argument that the NEP was introduced to feed Russia, answers may also address the nature of famine of 1920-1921 and the need for food relief from the USA. In evaluating the limitations, answers may argue that it was not the famine that prompted Lenin to act, but uprisings against requisitioning, in particular from previous supporters. Indeed, key economists in the party advocated the retaining of war communism. Finally, the interpretation is limited as it negates the date of introduction of the NEP, which came after the end of the Civil War. In analysing and evaluating the strengths of the given interpretation, answers might use knowledge and understanding of: The famines of 1920-1921 Governmental reports which started that 20% 	20	 No set answer is expected. Candidates must use their knowledge and understanding of the historical context and the wider historical debate surrounding the issue to analyse and evaluate the given interpretation. Candidates must refer to at least one other interpretations. The quality of analysis and evaluation of the interpretations should be considered when assigning answers to a level, not the quantity of other interpretations used in the answer. Other interpretations considered as part of evaluation and analysis do not need to be attributed to specific named historians, but they must be recognisable historical interpretations, rather than the candidate's own viewpoint. Answers may include more on strengths or more on limitations and there is no requirement for a 50/50 split in the evaluation, however for level 5 there should be well supported evaluation of both and for level 4 supported evaluation of both, in line with levels descriptors. Candidates are not required to construct their own interpretation.

of the population were starving	
The acceptance of foreign aid from the ARA	
The death of over 5 million people during the	
civil war from starvation	
 The fall in agricultural and industrial output 	
 In analysing the limitations of the given 	
interpretation, answers might use	
knowledge and understanding of:	
 The introduction of the NEP took place after 	
the end of the Civil War	
The anti-Bolshevik uprisings, for instance the	
Kronstadt Revolt, which prompted a change	
in tact	
The role of the Cheka and Terror which	
influenced the above	
The fact that leading figure in the party did	
not want the NEP to be introduced for	
economic reasons	
Lenin's desire to maintain control of his party	
Other interpretations that might be used in	
evaluation of the given interpretation	
are:	
interpretations which acknowledge the impact	
of Lenin's desire to maintain control of his	
party and reduce opposition	
interpretations that focus on the impact of the	
Kronstadt uprising and its impact on Lenin	
Interpretations that argue that war communian was a success for Lonin but	
communism was a success for Lenin, but was too severe	
 Interpretations that focus on the changing nature of circumstance and the need to 	
preserve the Revolution	

APPENDIX 1 – this contains the generic mark scheme grids

	AO1: Demonstrate, organise and communicate knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the key features related to the periods studied, making substantiated judgements and exploring concepts, as relevant, of cause, consequence, change, continuity, similarity, difference and significance.
	Generic mark scheme for Section A, Questions 1 and 2: Essay [30]
Level 5 25–30 marks	 There is a mostly consistent focus on the question. Generally accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding is demonstrated through most of the answer and is evaluated and analysed in order to reach substantiated judgements, but these are not consistently well-developed. There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.
Level 4 19–24 marks	The question is generally addressed. Generally accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and understanding is demonstrated through most of the answer with evaluation and some analysis, and this is used appropriately to support the judgements that are made. There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.
Level 3 13–18 marks	The question is partially addressed. There is demonstration of some relevant knowledge and understanding, which is evaluated and analysed in parts of the answer, but in places knowledge is imparted rather than being used. The analysis is appropriately linked to the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made explicit. The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.
Level 2 7–12 marks	The focus is more on the topic than the specific demands of the question. Knowledge and understanding is limited and not well used, with only limited evaluation and analysis, which is only sometimes linked appropriately to the judgements made. The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.
Level 1 1–6 marks	The answer relates to the topic but not the specific question. The answer contains only very limited relevant knowledge which is evaluated and analysed in a very limited way. Judgements are unsupported and are not linked to analysis. Relevant knowledge is limited, generalised and poorly used; attempts at argument are no more than assertion. Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.
0 marks	No evidence of understanding and no demonstration of any relevant knowledge.

Generic mark scheme for Section B, Question 3: Interpretation [20] The answer has a very good analysis of the interpretation. It uses detailed and relevant knowledge of the historical context and shows thorough understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of detailed examination of other interpretations, in order to produce a well-supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The answer has a good analysis of the interpretation. It uses relevant knowledge of the historical context and good understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of examination of other interpretations, in order to produce a supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The answer has a partial analysis of the interpretation. It uses some relevant knowledge of the historical context and shows partial understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of reference to other interpretations, in order to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The evaluation may be un-even with only limited treatment of either limitations or strengths,
shows thorough understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of detailed examination of other interpretations, in order to broduce a well-supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The answer has a good analysis of the interpretation. It uses relevant knowledge of the historical context and good understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of examination of other interpretations, in order to produce a supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The answer has a partial analysis of the interpretation. It uses some relevant knowledge of the historical context and shows partial understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of reference to other interpretations, in order to evaluate the strengths and
Droduce a well-supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The answer has a good analysis of the interpretation. It uses relevant knowledge of the historical context and good understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of examination of other interpretations, in order to produce a supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The answer has a partial analysis of the interpretation. It uses some relevant knowledge of the historical context and shows partial understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of reference to other interpretations, in order to evaluate the strengths and
The answer has a good analysis of the interpretation. It uses relevant knowledge of the historical context and good understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of examination of other interpretations, in order to produce a supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The answer has a partial analysis of the interpretation. It uses some relevant knowledge of the historical context and shows partial understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of reference to other interpretations, in order to evaluate the strengths and
understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of reference to other interpretations, in order to evaluate the strengths and
but both will be addressed.
The answer has a limited analysis of the interpretation. It uses generalised knowledge of the historical context and shows limited understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of generalised reference to other interpretations, in order to produce a limited evaluation of the given interpretation. The evaluation may deal with either strengths or limitations in a very superficial way, or may only address limitations or strengths.
The answer has a very limited analysis of the interpretation which may be descriptive and relate more to the topic area than the detail of the interpretation. It uses very limited and generalised knowledge of the historical context and shows very limited or no understanding of the wider historical debate, with reference to other interpretations being implicit or lacking, in order to produce a very simplistic, asserted evaluation of the given interpretation.
No evidence of understanding or reference to the interpretation.
D Th ar or Th of ur

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) 1 Hills Road Cambridge CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627 Email: <u>general.gualifications@ocr.org.uk</u>

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553





© OCR 2017